

Bryan Albini

From: Dennis Van Dalsen <dvandalsen@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 7, 2021 10:40 AM
To: Bryan Albini
Subject: PLN2020-00222

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Hello Bryan,

I reviewed the "updated" plan materials for the upcoming design review.

It is disappointing to again see the lot plan and topography pages missing the recorded easements affecting said parcel. My and others comments for the Feb 2021 design review included references to this. What happened? Am I mistaken that Planning is responsible for making sure easements are identified clearly on plan materials?

As part of a garage addition on my property in 2014 (APN 047-181-830), Planning required a topographic survey. This survey clearly identified the boundaries of the recorded easement. Why would that not be required for this project?

If it is of interest to you I am willing to send you a PDF of the survey done on my property which shows the complete extent of the easement. You can then clearly see that notations about sanitation facilities, debris box location and materials staging all infringe on the easement.

The recorded easement is located in Vol. 5059 Pages 306-313 in the SM County records. Among other items it specifies the easement for ingress/egress only. No parking, no interferences, etc.

Regards,

Dennis Van Dalsen